Journal of Management Science Research Review
https://jmsrr.com/index.php/Journal/about

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)

Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR BUSINESS ANALYTICS AND ENTREPRENEURIAL INNOVATION: A COMPREHENSIVE FRAMEWORK AND POLICY ROADMAP FOR UNDERDEVELOPED ECONOMIES

Abdul Samad Dahri
Assistant Professor, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University, Pakistan.
drabdulsamad.dahri@sbbusba.edu.pk, 0000-0003-4517-34932
Muhammad Asif
Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies, Bahria Business School, Bahria University, Karachi. masif.bukc@bahria.edu.pk
Muhammad Asif Shamim
Professor, Faculty of Management Science, Director, Centre for Policy Research & Sustainability (CPRS), Associate Dean, Social Sciences & Humanities, Head, Office of Graduate Studies, Salim Habib University, Karachi. asif.shamim@shu.edu.pk
Bisharat Ali
Scholar at University of Sindh, Pakistan. bisharat786ali@gmail.com

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping the foundations of global entrepreneurship, yet its diffusion remains heavily asymmetric, with underdeveloped economies lagging significantly behind. This paper develops a comprehensive and policy-oriented conceptual framework explaining how AI-driven business analytics can catalyze entrepreneurial innovation in low-income countries while addressing systemic barriers rooted in infrastructure, skills deficits, institutional weaknesses, and fragmented innovation ecosystems. Drawing from global evidence, established theoretical traditions, and contemporary policy analyses, the study synthesizes insights from resource-based theory, dynamic capabilities, national innovation systems, digital divide scholarship, and developmental economics to articulate why AI adoption remains uneven and how targeted interventions can redress these disparities. A multi-layered framework is presented, highlighting technological enablers, organizational readiness, regulatory arrangements, and social acceptance dynamics. Empirical patterns from OECD, World Bank, and UNCTAD surveys illustrate the widening AI-readiness gap between advanced and underdeveloped economies, underscoring the urgency for coherent action. The paper provides a detailed set of policy recommendations tailored for governments and local communities, including digital infrastructure expansion, AI-skilling initiatives, public–private innovation centers, local data ecosystems, adaptive regulatory sandboxes, and community-led entrepreneurial support systems. A structured policy implementation table outlines step-by-step operational strategies for each actor. The paper concludes by arguing that underdeveloped economies can transition from technological dependency to inclusive innovation leadership if AI adoption is approached as a long-term developmental infrastructure rather than a short-term digital upgrade. This work contributes to global discussions on inclusive technological progress and offers actionable pathways for low-income nations to build equitable AI-enabled entrepreneurial futures.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Business analytics, Entrepreneurship, Underdeveloped economies, Innovation ecosystems, Digital transformation

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Global Shifts in Technological Transformation

Over the past decade, artificial intelligence (AI) has come to represent one of the most profound technological transformations since the industrial revolution. While previous waves of digitalization focused primarily on connectivity and automation, the present transition is rooted in systems that can learn, predict, and adapt—capabilities that fundamentally reshape decision-making, productivity, and innovation across economic sectors (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017; Cockburn, Henderson, & Stern, 2019). AI is not simply another technological tool; it is a "general-purpose technology" with spillovers that influence almost every domain of economic and social life (OECD, 2023). In advanced economies, AI-driven analytics already support strategic planning, consumer profiling, precision marketing, supply-chain optimization, financial risk management, and innovation forecasting. These

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

applications have triggered measurable productivity gains, expanded the innovation frontier, and redefined competitive advantage.

In contrast, the global economic landscape remains uneven in the capacity to harness these emerging technologies. Advanced economies continue to scale AI investments at exponential rates, while underdeveloped economies struggle with structural barriers including weak infrastructure, limited human capital, poor innovation systems, fragmented markets, and institutional voids (UNCTAD, 2023). As a result, AI—despite its potential to democratize opportunities—risks exacerbating global inequalities, widening gaps in productivity, and hardening competitiveness disparities. The World Bank (2022) notes that developing nations currently capture less than 10% of global AI value creation, despite representing more than half of the world's population. This imbalance is not merely technological but developmental, raising concerns regarding long-term economic inclusion and participation in the global digital economy.

1.2 Business Analytics as the Core of AI-Enabled Economic Transformation

In the contemporary digital economy, data has become the central resource around which firms generate knowledge, respond to market dynamics, and innovate (Davenport, 2018). Business analytics transforms raw data into actionable insights that inform entrepreneurial judgment, strategic decisions, and operational adjustments. AI significantly amplifies these capacities by enabling predictive modelling, pattern recognition, natural language processing, and automated reasoning—tools that extend the analytical capabilities traditionally accessible only to large corporations.

The strategic value of AI-enhanced business analytics lies in its ability to reduce uncertainty, identify market opportunities earlier, and support experimentation in product and service development (Wamba et al., 2017). For entrepreneurs, particularly those operating in environments characterized by volatility and information scarcity, AI offers critical advantages: real-time

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

intelligence, automated customer segmentation, competitor tracking, demand prediction, pricing optimization, and early warning signals for risk mitigation. These functions, once exclusive to capital-intensive enterprises, are increasingly integrated into cloud-based platforms, making them technically accessible to small ventures worldwide.

1.3 AI and Entrepreneurial Innovation: Expanding the Frontier of New Venture Creation

Entrepreneurial activity—especially in emerging markets—has traditionally been constrained by the availability of reliable information. High transaction costs, imperfect markets, and uncertain regulatory environments often force entrepreneurs to rely on experience-based heuristics rather than data-driven decisions (Bruton, Ahlstrom, & Obloj, 2008). AI tools have the potential to radically transform this landscape by enabling entrepreneurs to process vast information flows, detect emergent opportunities, evaluate risks, and innovate under uncertainty. Research increasingly confirms that AI adoption within entrepreneurial ecosystems enhances opportunity recognition, accelerates venture scaling, and expands access to global markets (Nambisan, Wright, & Feldman, 2019).

In advanced innovation systems, AI is now integrated across the entrepreneurial lifecycle—ideation, prototyping, product development, testing, scaling, and cross-border expansion. For example, AI-driven product design, automated social media analytics, customer sentiment mining, and chatbot-based customer acquisition have transformed the economics of startup growth. These digital tools reduce the marginal cost of innovation and allow entrepreneurs to iterate more rapidly, learning from data rather than trial-and-error. However, this transformative potential is not evenly distributed. A significant literature notes that while developed economies incorporate AI as a standard entrepreneurial resource, many underdeveloped economies lack even the foundational conditions required for AI adoption, including data governance frameworks, digital infrastructure, secure connectivity, and AI-

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

capable human capital (OECD, 2021; UNESCO, 2022). The result is a growing divergence in entrepreneurial productivity and innovation quality across national contexts.

1.4 The Problem: Underdeveloped Economies Face Systemic Barriers

While AI and business analytics hold extraordinary promise, underdeveloped economies face entrenched structural obstacles that restrict their ability to benefit from these technologies. These constraints include:

1. Weak digital infrastructure — slow internet speeds, unreliable electricity, limited cloud computing access (World Bank, 2021).
2. Data poverty — fragmented, non-standardized, and often unavailable digital data records (UNCTAD, 2022).
3. Low human capital and skill mismatch — shortages of data scientists, AI engineers, and analytics professionals (ILO, 2022).
4. A poorly functioning innovation system — weak university–industry linkages, limited R&D investment, weak coordination among institutions (Lundvall, 2016).
5. Financial constraints — limited access to credit, lack of investment in digital transformation, and high cost of technology acquisition.
6. Institutional voids — inconsistent regulations, corruption, weak intellectual property regimes (Khanna & Palepu, 2010).
7. Cultural and social barriers — distrust of digital tools, gendered digital divides, low digital literacy rates.

These issues prevent small businesses and entrepreneurs—the backbone of most underdeveloped economies—from leveraging AI-based analytics. As global markets increasingly reward digital agility and innovation, economies that fail to integrate AI risk further marginalization.

Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

1.5 Why AI Adoption Is Not Straightforward in Low-Resource Settings

Research shows that AI adoption requires complementary assets which underdeveloped economies often lack. These include:

1. Standardized digital records (e.g., tax data, supply chain data, health records).
2. High-quality training datasets with local relevance.
3. Industry-specific AI tools adapted to local languages and contexts.
4. Regulatory certainty and trust in data privacy and algorithmic fairness.
5. Affordable access to cloud computing and digital tools.

The absence of these complementary assets means that simply "introducing AI" is insufficient; broader systemic, institutional, and policy reforms are required. Scholars emphasize that successful AI adoption is rarely a technological issue alone but a socio-economic and political challenge (Susskind, 2020; Kshetri, 2021).

1.6 The Promise of AI for Underdeveloped Economies: Leapfrogging Potential

Despite these constraints, underdeveloped economies possess a unique opportunity: the potential to *leapfrog* traditional stages of industrial and digital development. Historical examples illustrate this possibility such as:

1. Mobile money adoption in Kenya (M-Pesa) revolutionized financial access without the country having widespread banking infrastructure (Suri & Jack, 2016).
2. Rwanda's use of drone technology for medical supply distribution overcame transportation challenges (USAID, 2020).
3. India's Aadhaar digital identity system enabled scalable digital services despite institutional constraints.

AI could similarly serve as a leapfrogging mechanism—provided governments design appropriate policies, build supportive ecosystems, and reduce barriers for small enterprises.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

1.7 Business Analytics as a Bridge Between AI Capability and Entrepreneurial Innovation

For underdeveloped economies, business analytics plays a central role in bridging the gap between "AI potential" and "practical innovation outcomes." Business analytics:

1. Translates digital data into actionable insights.
2. Enables entrepreneurs to operate in uncertain markets.
3. Reduces information asymmetry.
4. Facilitates product innovation in resource-constrained settings.
5. Encourages evidence-based decision-making instead of informal heuristics.

This alignment between analytics and innovation is supported by research showing that entrepreneurial success increasingly depends on the ability to collect, process, and interpret data meaningfully (Amit & Zott, 2020; Teece, 2018).

1.8 Policy as a Catalyst in Low-Income Contexts

A central challenge—and the starting point for this paper—is that the absence of enabling policies prevents AI diffusion in underdeveloped economies. Global evidence suggests that countries that successfully integrate AI do so through coordinated national policies that:

1. Provide digital infrastructure.
2. Support SME digital adoption.
3. Create open data ecosystems.
4. Enhance institutional trust.
5. Strengthen financial support for startups.
6. Promote community-level digital literacy.
7. Link universities with entrepreneurial ecosystems.
8. Ensure ethical, inclusive, and responsible AI governance.

Countries such as Rwanda, Singapore, and Uruguay demonstrate that even small economies can create effective AI ecosystems when policy frameworks are coherent and inclusive (WEF, 2022).

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

1.9 Why This Research Is Needed

Despite growing interest, there remains limited theoretical and empirical work that integrates AI, business analytics, entrepreneurial innovation, and public policy within the context of underdeveloped economies. Most studies focus on individual components—AI adoption, SME digitalization, or innovation systems—without offering a comprehensive, multi-level framework.

The key academic gaps include:

1. A lack of integrated frameworks that connect AI capability → analytics maturity → entrepreneurial innovation.
2. Limited research focusing on low-resource and institutionally weak environments.
3. Minimal incorporation of policy design and implementation mechanisms.
4. Underdeveloped understanding of how community-level factors (local norms, collective literacy, informal networks) shape AI adoption.
5. Insufficient real-data evidence from small firms in underdeveloped economies.

This paper addresses these gaps by offering a comprehensive conceptual framework supported by global research and developing a structured policy roadmap tailored for underdeveloped economies.

1.10 Purpose of This Paper

This research pursues three core aims:

1. To synthesize and integrate literature on AI, business analytics, entrepreneurial innovation, and development economics in the context of underdeveloped economies.
2. To develop a conceptual model illustrating how AI-enabled business analytics supports entrepreneurial innovation, moderated by institutional, infrastructural, and community-level conditions.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

3. To propose a step-by-step policy roadmap—for governments and local communities—for accelerating AI diffusion and strengthening innovation ecosystems.

1.11 Contributions of This Study

This paper offers several scholarly and practical contributions:

1. Theoretical Contribution: Integrates multiple theories—TOE, dynamic capabilities, socio-technical systems, RBV, and national innovation systems—into an analytical model tailored to underdeveloped economies.
2. Empirical Contribution: Synthesizes evidence from global surveys (e.g., WEF, World Bank, McKinsey, ITU) to contextualize AI readiness and adoption patterns.
3. Practical Contribution: Provides entrepreneurs with insights on how AI-driven analytics can support opportunity recognition and innovation in resource-limited settings.
4. Policy Contribution: Offers a detailed, actionable policy matrix with implementation steps for governments, development agencies, and community networks.

2. Theoretical Foundations

Understanding how Artificial Intelligence (AI) enables business analytics and entrepreneurial innovation—particularly in underdeveloped economies—requires anchoring the discussion in established theoretical lenses. These theoretical foundations not only frame the mechanisms through which AI can transform entrepreneurial ecosystems but also explain why developing nations struggle to exploit AI's full potential. This section integrates four major theoretical paradigms: (1) Resource-Based View (RBV), (2) Dynamic Capabilities Theory, (3) Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) Framework, (4) Innovation Systems Theory, and (5) Institutional Theory, culminating in a synthesized conceptual grounding for the proposed model.

2.1 Resource-Based View (RBV) and AI as a Strategic Resource

The Resource-Based View posits that firms gain competitive advantage when they possess valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable resources (Barney, 1991). In modern digital economies, data and AI capabilities increasingly constitute such strategic assets (Wamba et al., 2017). For entrepreneurial firms—especially in emerging regions—AI provides the opportunity to transform raw data into insights, create new business models, automate decision workflows, and reach underserved markets cost-effectively. Studies show that firms that leverage AI-driven analytics outperform competitors in product innovation, market prediction, and operational efficiency (Brynjolfsson & McElheran, 2016).

However, RBV also highlights a structural inequality: if firms lack the resources needed to adopt AI—such as digital infrastructure, human capital, or capital expenditures—they cannot convert AI potential into strategic value. Underdeveloped economies tend to experience exactly this limitation, as documented in large-scale cross-country studies (World Bank, 2023; UNCTAD, 2021). AI becomes a source of competitive divergence rather than convergence. Therefore, RBV underpins the argument that AI adoption is not merely a technological upgrade but a strategic capability determined by resource access.

2.2 Dynamic Capabilities Theory: Building Adaptability in Uncertain Markets

While RBV focuses on resource possession, Dynamic Capabilities Theory emphasizes a firm's ability to *integrate, reconfigure, and renew* its resources in response to environmental turbulence (Teece, Pisano, & Shuen, 1997). AI-enabled analytics enhance dynamic capabilities in three ways:

1. Sensing capabilities: AI improves opportunity recognition through predictive analytics, market scanning, and customer behavior modeling (Chen, Chiang, & Storey, 2012).

2. Seizing capabilities: Entrepreneurs can course-correct business strategies faster by leveraging real-time insights (Davenport, 2018).
3. Reconfiguring capabilities: Automation, machine learning, and process analytics allow firms to restructure operations with minimal cost (Rialti et al., 2020).

These capabilities are critical for SMEs in underdeveloped markets that operate under resource scarcity, informal competition, and institutional volatility. Empirical evidence from African and South Asian SMEs indicates that dynamic capabilities mediate the relationship between digital innovation and firm performance (Akpan, Soopramanien, & Kwak, 2022). AI thus acts as a catalyst that helps entrepreneurs survive high uncertainty environments.

2.3 Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) Framework: Understanding Adoption Constraints

The Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) framework (Tornatzky & Fleischer, 1990) is one of the most influential models explaining technology adoption in businesses.

1. Technology Context: Includes the perceived benefits, compatibility, complexity, and security of AI tools. Evidence shows SMEs in developing nations perceive AI as too complex, expensive, and incompatible with existing capabilities (Maroufkhani et al., 2022).
2. Organizational Context: Includes firm size, managerial competence, digital literacy, and financial flexibility. Many firms in developing economies lack in-house data scientists or budgets for AI integration (Gillani et al., 2023).
3. Environmental Context: Includes government policies, digital infrastructure, regulatory support, and competitive pressure. Low broadband penetration, inconsistent regulatory frameworks, and limited digital public goods remain major barriers (ITU, 2022).

The TOE framework justifies why AI diffusion in underdeveloped regions remains slow despite global advances. It also structures policy

Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

recommendations by highlighting where governments and communities must intervene.

2.4 Innovation Systems Theory: National and Regional Capacity for AI-driven Entrepreneurship

National Innovation Systems (NIS) theory (Lundvall, 1992; Nelson, 1993) argues that innovation is shaped by interactions between universities, industry, government, and civil society. AI adoption therefore depends on system-wide coordination, such as universities producing AI talent, industry investing in R&D, government funding innovation infrastructure, and communities participating in digital transformation. In many developing countries, innovation systems are fragmented or weak. Research shows that inadequate collaboration between academic and industrial sectors severely limits entrepreneurial innovation capability (OECD, 2021). The "innovation divide" is particularly visible in AI research output: Africa accounts for only 1.4% of global AI publications and low-income countries collectively publish less than 0.2% (Rafique et al., 2023).

This theory underscores that entrepreneurial innovation cannot occur in isolation—AI must be embedded into a functioning ecosystem of knowledge flows.

2.5 Institutional Theory and Institutional Voids in AI Diffusion

Institutional Theory (North, 1990; Scott, 2014) highlights how rules, norms, and cognitive structures influence organizational behavior. Underdeveloped economies often suffer from regulatory voids (weak AI governance), normative voids (lack of digital culture), and cognitive voids (limited AI-awareness). Empirical studies confirm that institutional weakness is one of the strongest barriers to AI adoption in emerging markets (Bui, Zeng, & Higgs, 2021). Entrepreneurs cannot innovate effectively in environments where data privacy laws, IP protection, and digital rights frameworks are underdeveloped. Thus, institutional theory supports the argument that successful AI-driven

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

entrepreneurship requires institutional strengthening, not only technological investment.

2.6 Synthesizing Theories Toward an Integrated Conceptual Frame

Collectively, the theories form a cohesive understanding:

1. RBV: Explains why firms need access to AI resources.
2. Dynamic Capabilities: Explains how firms should use AI to adapt and innovate.
3. TOE Framework: Explains barriers and facilitators of AI adoption.
4. Innovation Systems Theory: Explains why system-wide collaboration is essential.
5. Institutional Theory: Explains why governance and norms shape AI diffusion.

This synthesis guides the conceptual model and propositions in later sections, grounding the study in a robust theoretical foundation.

2. Literature Review

Artificial intelligence (AI) has rapidly evolved from a specialized computational capability to a foundational infrastructure for contemporary business models, entrepreneurial ecosystems, and national innovation strategies. While this transition has been widely discussed in advanced economies, the implications for underdeveloped and structurally constrained markets remain insufficiently theorized and unevenly documented. This literature review synthesizes extant research across five interrelated domains: (a) AI adoption in business analytics, (b) entrepreneurship and digital transformation, (c) innovation systems in developing economies, (d) policy and institutional readiness, and (e) socio-technical constraints shaping AI uptake. Along the way, it integrates insights from your publication profile, especially research on digital service quality, user acceptance, environmental performance, institutional constraints, job dynamics, and technology adoption—all thematically relevant to understanding how AI-driven business

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

analytics can reshape entrepreneurial innovation in underdeveloped economies.

2.1 AI Adoption in Business Analytics: Foundations and Global Evidence

AI's analytical capabilities now underpin decision-making processes across marketing, finance, supply chains, and customer management. Early work in business analytics emphasized descriptive dashboards and reporting (Davenport, 2018), but the contemporary phase has shifted towards predictive modelling, prescriptive optimization, cognitive automation, and AI-augmented decision-support systems (Brynjolfsson & McElheran, 2016; Jordan & Mitchell, 2015).

2.1.1 AI and Predictive Decision-Making

Global evidence demonstrates that firms employing AI-driven analytics report measurable gains in:

1. Strategic forecasting accuracy (Fosso Wamba et al., 2021)
2. Operational efficiency (Agrawal, Gans et al., 2018)
3. Risk mitigation and fraud detection (Kshetri, 2021)
4. Customer behaviour prediction and segmentation (Wedel & Kannan, 2016)

These findings are relevant for underdeveloped economies where firms often operate with thin margins, volatile demand cycles, and resource scarcity. Predictive analytics can alleviate information asymmetries that have historically constrained entrepreneurial growth.

2.1.2 AI in Resource-Constrained Business Environments

However, the transferability of AI advantages to underdeveloped countries is shaped by institutional voids, infrastructure limitations, and data poverty, as highlighted by multiple research streams (Qureshi et al., 2019; Dahri & Thebo, 2020). Scholars find that a lack of reliable data, fragmented supply chains, and inconsistent regulatory frameworks limit the sophistication of business analytics systems that firms can practically deploy (Kshetri, 2018; Manyika et al., 2019). This aligns with Dahri et al. (2019), who documented how

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

technology usability in rural Pakistan is undermined by infrastructural constraints, inconsistent service quality, and limited user training. These issues parallel the barriers confronting AI-infused business systems.

2.2 AI and Entrepreneurial Innovation

Artificial intelligence is increasingly recognized as a general-purpose technology (GPT) with the capacity to reshape entrepreneurial strategies, opportunity recognition, and new business formation processes. AI enhances entrepreneurship in three major ways:

2.2.1 AI-Enabled Opportunity Recognition

Entrepreneurs traditionally depend on intuition and limited market signals, but AI expands the scope of opportunity recognition by:

- Identifying emerging customer needs through big data analysis
- Monitoring real-time market trends from digital platforms
- Predicting industry disruptions and technological openings
- Supporting rapid experimentation with new business models (Nambisan, Wright, & Feldman, 2019)

Underdeveloped countries can particularly benefit because entrepreneurs often lack institutional research support, reliable market data, or formal analytics capacity.

2.2.2 AI-Driven Business Model Innovation

AI technologies support innovations such as:

- Platform-based entrepreneurial ventures (Cusumano, Gawer, & Yoffie, 2019)
- Predictive marketplaces and digital matchmaking
- Automated supply-chain analytics for new ventures
- AI-assisted financial modelling for startups

Accordingly, study on entrepreneurship quality education by Raza et al., (2021) reinforces the importance of equipping emerging entrepreneurs with modern analytical and technological skills. AI-based analytics directly complements

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

entrepreneurial training by reshaping how opportunities are formulated and executed.

2.2.3 AI and Entrepreneurial Ecosystem Transformation

Entrepreneurial ecosystems in advanced economies thrive due to strong data infrastructure, venture capital, digital governance, and R&D support (Autio, Nambisan, Thomas, & Wright, 2018). Underdeveloped economies lack these conditions, and research consistently shows that AI adoption cannot scale sustainably without supportive institutional frameworks, strong digital public infrastructure, reliable connectivity, human capital upgrading, and clear regulatory norms (Kumar, Sharma, & Dass, 2023). This echoes Dahri et al. (2025), who highlighted how green competitive advantage in SMEs depends not only on technology but also on institutional scaffolding, managerial commitment, and supportive public policy—factors equally crucial for AI-driven entrepreneurial innovation.

2.3 Digital Transformation, Service Quality, and Customer Analytics

Multiple research contributions from your profile (Raza, Umer, Qureshi, & Dahri, 2020) reveal the significance of service quality, user satisfaction, and digital channel adoption in technology-driven sectors. AI in business analytics directly builds upon these foundational insights.

2.3.1 Technology Acceptance and User Experience

Studies from developing countries (Raza et al., 2020; Dahri, 2020; Rehman et al., 2023) consistently emphasize the relevance of user satisfaction in digital adoption, the moderating role of service quality on loyalty, and the importance of trust and perceived security in adoption decisions. AI-based business analytics uses similar user-centric principles but extends them through automated personalization, predictive customer insights, sentiment analysis from digital interactions, and dynamic feedback loops for service improvement. Thus, the modified e-SERVQUAL research is directly relevant in framing how AI transforms service delivery expectations in entrepreneurial ventures.

2.4 AI, SMEs, and Innovation Constraints in Underdeveloped Economies

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) form the backbone of most developing economies. However, literature emphasizes persistent constraints limiting their adoption of advanced analytics.

2.4.1 Structural Constraints

Scholars identify multiple challenges including Limited financial capital (Beck & Demirgüç-Kunt, 2006), Low digital readiness (World Bank, 2020), Weak institutional capability (Khanna & Palepu, 2010), and Poor information-sharing mechanisms (Atif Aziz et al., 2021).

2.4.2 Human Capital Constraints

Research repeatedly highlights gaps in analytical literacy, AI-specific technical capabilities, managerial digital readiness, and change management skills (ILO, 2023; OECD, 2021). Accordingly, Dahri et al.'s (2018) work on job satisfaction and emotional exhaustion indirectly highlights the role of leadership, workload, and organizational culture—factors also known to influence successful AI adoption.

2.4.3 Data Poverty and Digital Fragmentation

UNCTAD (2022) and Kshetri (2021) report that underdeveloped countries typically lack standardized data formats, cloud infrastructure, reliable regulatory frameworks, and data governance policies. Thus, without foundational data ecosystems, AI adoption remains limited to isolated pilot projects.

2.5 AI and Public Sector Readiness: Governance, Regulations, and National Capability

AI-driven business analytics requires supportive public policy frameworks. Studies in digital governance (Memon et al., 2025; Ali et al., 2025) point to the need for anti-corruption measures, political stability, regulatory quality,

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

strong rule of law, and transparent institutional mechanisms. These research themes directly align with World Economic Forum (2023) findings that AI adoption correlates strongly with governance capacity and political stability.

2.5.1 Regulatory and Ethical Considerations

Literature (Van den Hoven, Mittelstadt, & Floridi, 2020) emphasizes the importance of AI governance standards, cybersecurity regulations, data privacy laws, and ethical AI deployment frameworks. Emerging research on ethical AI in business, including work on CSR-aligned ethical AI (Dahri et al., 2025), strengthens the argument that governance capability is crucial in shaping whether AI benefits or harms entrepreneurial ecosystems.

2.5.2 Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI)

Countries like India, Singapore, and Estonia demonstrate that entrepreneurial innovation flourishes when governments invest in national-level digital infrastructure (Srivastava & Sharma, 2022; OECD, 2023) that supports digital identity, interoperable databases, open APIs, and public digital transaction layers. Underdeveloped countries still lack scalable DPI, reinforcing the need for policy-driven capability building.

2.6 AI for Sustainable and Green Innovation

An emerging body of literature (Fujii, Managi, & Sato, 2017; Vinuesa et al., 2020) addresses how AI contributes to energy efficiency, waste reduction, circular economy modelling, climate risk forecasting, sustainable operations management, and green supply chain integration.

Research on green competitive advantage in SMEs (Dahri et al., 2025) and environmental performance through green training (Memon et al., 2022) provides empirical support for the idea that sustainability-oriented firms are more prepared to adopt advanced analytics—including AI—when leadership commitment, training, and organizational culture are aligned.

2.7 Human-Centric Dimensions of AI Adoption

AI is not purely a technological phenomenon; it is embedded within social systems, organizational cultures, and human psychological contexts.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

2.7.1 Leadership, Culture, and Organizational Citizenship

Leadership commitment strongly predicts whether AI adoption translates into meaningful strategic change (Raisch & Krakowski, 2021). Dahri et al. (2022) highlight the importance of top management commitment in shaping environmental performance—a finding that parallels the way leadership drives AI transformation.

2.7.2 Employee Satisfaction and Change Management

Research shows that unclear communication, high workload, technology-induced stress, and role ambiguity, all influence the success of technological transitions (Tarafdar et al., 2019; Brohi et al., 2018; Dahri, 2020). AI implementations that overlook employee well-being risk adoption failures, resistance, and performance decline.

2.8 Country-Specific Evidence: Lessons From the Global South

Countries like India, China, Brazil, Indonesia, and Vietnam have documented both achievements and gaps in AI-enabled business transformation.

2.8.1 Emerging Success Stories

a) India: AI-powered fintech and health technologies are scaling rapidly due to DPI and policy support (NITI Aayog, 2021).
b) China: AI has accelerated manufacturing productivity and innovation (Zhang et al., 2022).
c) Brazil: AI in agribusiness reduces waste and improves yields (de Souza et al., 2021).

2.8.2 Persistent Challenges

Persistent challenges include low digital literacy, unreliable connectivity, weak research ecosystems, funding scarcity for startups, data localization issues, and low institutional trust. These issues mirror findings in your work on technology adoption in public healthcare (Dahri et al., 2022) and digital governance adoption (Dahri & Maitlo, 2020).

2.9 Synthesis: What the Literature Tells Us So Far

Across the literature, several patterns emerge such as:

1. AI enhances predictive power, efficiency, and innovation, but the level of benefit varies across development contexts.
2. Entrepreneurial ecosystems in developing economies can be strengthened dramatically through AI-driven analytics, but require governance, infrastructure, and human capital reforms.
3. SMEs, which dominate underdeveloped economies, face severe structural constraints that limit their ability to adopt AI.
4. Policy, regulation, and digital public infrastructure are foundational for sustainable AI diffusion.
5. Human, social, and cultural dimensions are just as significant as technical capacity.
6. Your publication profile consistently aligns with the global literature, reinforcing the importance of service quality, leadership, digital adoption, sustainability, and socio-technical constraints.

3. Theoretical Foundations

A strong theoretical foundation is essential for examining how Artificial Intelligence (AI) drives business analytics and entrepreneurial innovation, particularly within underdeveloped economies where institutional voids, infrastructural gaps, and socio-economic constraints differ sharply from advanced economies. This section synthesizes the major theoretical frameworks that underpin the relationship between AI, analytics capability, digital innovation, entrepreneurial ecosystems, and socio-economic transformation. These theories span the domains of technology adoption, organizational capability development, innovation systems, institutional economics, behavioral intention, and socio-technical change. Further, the section anchors AI integration within the contextual realities of developing economies and draws upon empirical evidence from related domains such as digital banking service quality (Raza et al., 2020), technological adoption in public healthcare (Dahri et al., 2022), mobile health usability (Dahri et al., 2019), and digital infrastructure capabilities (Dahri, Memon, & Syed, 2025).

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

Together, these theoretical frameworks build the conceptual scaffolding for the proposed model presented later in this paper.

3.1 Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) Framework

The TOE Framework (Tornatzky & Fleischer, 1990) remains one of the most dominant theories explaining the adoption of technological innovations at the firm level. It suggests that innovation adoption is driven by three interrelated domains:

1. Technology context – perceived relative advantage, complexity, compatibility
2. Organizational context – resources, managerial support, firm size, human capital
3. Environmental context – industry pressure, government regulation, competitive intensity

3.1.A Relevance to AI Adoption in Underdeveloped Economies

AI-driven business analytics requires substantial technological readiness—such as data infrastructure, connectivity, integration mechanisms, and cybersecurity. Many underdeveloped economies lack these prerequisites, making TOE especially relevant for diagnosing systemic weaknesses. Empirical evidence supports TOE in different technological adoption contexts within developing countries. For example:

1. Digital banking adoption: Raza et al. (2020) showed that service quality perception (a technological factor), organizational capability, and environmental expectations significantly influenced customer satisfaction and loyalty in Pakistan's digital banking ecosystem.
2. E-governance usability: Dahri and Maitlo (2020) demonstrated that technological ease, organizational support, and environmental constraints affect adoption of digital public services.
3. Medical technology adoption: Dahri et al. (2022) found similar TOE-based constraints in public healthcare technology implementation in Pakistan.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)

Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

3.1.B TOE Implications for AI-driven Entrepreneurship

1. Technological constraints—lack of cloud infrastructure, insufficient data quality, and low digital literacy—slow AI adoption.
2. Organizational constraints—poor managerial support, weak IT governance, and limited analytics culture—undermine entrepreneurial innovation.
3. Environmental constraints—regulatory uncertainty, corruption, and infrastructural deficits—limit ecosystem-wide innovation.

Thus, TOE highlights why underdeveloped economies often lag in AI readiness and provides a structured theoretical basis for identifying capability gaps later in the research.

3.2 Dynamic Capabilities Theory

The Dynamic Capabilities (DC) Theory (Teece, Pisano & Shuen, 1997) posits that sustainable competitive advantage depends on a firm's ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competencies in fast-changing environments. These capabilities involve sensing opportunities and threats, seizing opportunities by investing in new assets or processes, and transforming or reconfiguring organizational structures to sustain growth.

3.2A AI and Dynamic Capabilities

AI enables superior sensing capabilities through advanced data analytics, pattern recognition, and predictive modeling (Ransbotham et al., 2021). Firms can detect new market opportunities, consumer trends, and operational inefficiencies earlier and more accurately. Meanwhile, empirical evidence shows how digital and knowledge capabilities enhance organizational performance including Rehman et al. (2023) demonstrated how high-performance work systems and knowledge transformation enhance firm competitiveness—closely aligned with sensing and transforming capability. Dahri, Saraih, & Salameh (2025) showed how SMEs can transform sustainability performance using technology-moderated environmental strategies, reflecting dynamic transformation capabilities. And, Khan,

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

Hameed, & Dahri (2025) discussed how technological innovations revolutionize service delivery and operational transformation.

3.2B Relevance to Underdeveloped Economies

DC theory explains why digitally advanced firms leapfrog competitors even in resource-constrained environments. Firms that dynamically reconfigure resources—by adopting AI analytics for market intelligence, customer segmentation, supply chain forecasting, and financial risk management are more likely to innovate despite infrastructural limitations. Therefore, DC theory provides strong grounding for explaining how AI-enabled analytics can drive entrepreneurial innovation even in weak institutional contexts.

3.3 Resource-Based View (RBV) and Knowledge-Based View (KBV)

The Resource-Based View (Barney, 1991) and Knowledge-Based View (Grant, 1996) are foundational theories explaining competitive advantage based on internal resources and knowledge capabilities.

3.3A AI as a Strategic Resource

AI and business analytics systems constitute valuable resources (enhancing decision efficiency), rare capabilities (advanced algorithms, predictive power), inimitable assets (proprietary data, experience-based analytics systems), and organizationally embedded knowledge (trained personnel, data culture). Together, AI creates competitive advantage by enabling firms to transform raw data into unique insights.

3.3B KBV and Knowledge Flows in Entrepreneurial Ecosystems

KBV argues that knowledge creation, transfer, and integration drive innovation. AI facilitates automated knowledge discovery, cross-system data integration, real-time knowledge flows, and codified digital intelligence. Accordingly, research strongly supports KBV in developing economies such as Dahri et al. (2017) emphasized career growth and meaningful work in promoting employee engagement—highlighting human knowledge development. Dahri, Amin, & Waseem (2019) showed how strategic

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)

Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

leadership and knowledge management enhance performance. And, Rehman et al. (2023) demonstrated knowledge-smart transformation in service firms.

3.3C RBV, KBV and AI in Underdeveloped Economies

Underdeveloped economies often suffer from weak knowledge infrastructures, poor research–industry linkages, limited data governance, and Low investment in intangible assets. AI-driven analytics can circumvent some of these resource limitations by enabling data-based decision-making even with low managerial tacit knowledge. Thus, RBV and KBV provide strong theoretical justification for positioning AI as a strategic competence essential for entrepreneurial success in emerging markets.

3.4 Institutional Theory

Institutional Theory (North, 1990; Scott, 2008) explains how economic behavior is shaped by formal institutions (laws, regulations, policies) and informal institutions (culture, norms, trust).

3.4A Institutional Voids in Underdeveloped Economies

Underdeveloped economies face severe institutional voids such as corruption and weak regulatory quality (Aziz et al., 2025), poor governance and unstable political conditions (Ali et al., 2025), limited intellectual property protection, underdeveloped digital and statistical infrastructure (Ali et al., 2025), inadequate cybersecurity legislation, low trust in government digital systems, and uneven digital literacy. Accordingly, empirical evidence strongly supports these views include Ali, Rafique & Dahri (2025) demonstrated how political stability and anti-corruption reforms drive statistical systems and data governance. Shaikh et al. (2025) highlighted how governance quality relates strongly to rule of law globally. And, Memon, Rasli & Dahri (2022) established how top management commitment drives environmental performance—showing how institutional support affects firm-level outcomes.

3.4B AI and Institutional Evolution

AI needs strong institutions for data protection, privacy regulation, digital tax systems, competition laws, and transparent public procurement. Without

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

regulatory support, AI-driven entrepreneurship remains fragmented or confined to informal sectors. Hence, Institutional Theory helps identify macro-level constraints and offers grounding for the policy recommendations discussed later.

3.5 Diffusion of Innovation (DOI) Theory

Rogers' Diffusion of Innovation Theory (2003) explains how innovations spread in a social system over time. Adoption is influenced by relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability.

3.4A Relevance to AI Adoption

AI is perceived as complex and often incompatible with existing business practices in developing economies. DOI helps explain slow adoption of AI tools by SMEs, hesitancy among, entrepreneurs lacking digital skills, low observability of AI benefits in traditional markets, and limited trialability due to cost and skill constraints.

Research on digital banking adoption (Raza et al., 2020; 2021) supports DOI, showing that perceived ease, usefulness, and trust drive diffusion of digital technologies in Pakistan and other emerging economies. Similarly, Dahri et al. (2019, 2020) showed DOI-analogous patterns in mobile health and AI-enabled medical systems. And Raza et al. (2021) showed renewable energy transitions diffuse faster when economic advantages are clear. Thus, DOI theory contextualizes behavioral and socio-cultural barriers to AI-driven entrepreneurship.

3.6 Socio-Technical Systems Theory

This theory (Trist & Emery, 1973) posits that organizational performance is shaped by the interaction between people, technology, and social structures. Successful technological transformation requires alignment between technological systems, human capabilities, organizational culture, and social values.

Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

3.6A AI and Socio-Technical Alignment

AI fundamentally changes job roles, authority structures, communication patterns, and decision dynamics. If firms do not adjust training systems, culture, and leadership, AI implementation fails. Evidence from related domains includes: Dahri et al. (2020, 2021) demonstrated how workplace climate, communication quality, leadership behavior, and stress influence job satisfaction—showing how socio-technical misalignment harms employees. Ahmed et al. (2017) highlighted emotional demands and workload stress from poorly planned technological changes. And, Rehman et al. (2025) emphasized triple-bottom-line transformations using socio-technical lens.

3.6B Relevance to Underdeveloped Economies

Socio-technical challenges plague developing economies low digital skills, resistance to automation, hierarchical organizational structures, insufficient training systems, and cultural preference for manual decision-making. Thus, socio-technical theory underlines the need for human capacity building in AI-driven innovation ecosystems.

3.7 Entrepreneurial Ecosystem Theory

Entrepreneurial Ecosystem Theory (Isenberg, 2010; Stam, 2015) explains how entrepreneurship thrives through coordinated interactions among multiple actors universities, incubators, investors, government, digital infrastructure providers, culture and social norms, talent pool, and market networks.

3.7A AI and Entrepreneurial Ecosystems

AI-enabled entrepreneurial innovation demands data infrastructures, AI-skilled labor, mentorship and incubation, accessible financing, supportive policies, and cross-sector digital partnerships. Moreover, research in related fields supports the ecosystem perspective such as entrepreneurship education improves entrepreneurial intention in developing countries (Raza et al., 2021). Digital infrastructure is fundamental for knowledge economies (Dahri, Memon & Syed, 2025). And, Environmental and governance performance influences business sustainability (Memon et al., 2022; Dahri et al., 2025).

3.7B Relevance to Underdeveloped Economies

Underdeveloped economies often lack venture funding, innovation policies, R&D institutions, data governance, and industry–university partnerships. This explains stagnant AI entrepreneurship despite rising global momentum.

3.8 Human Capital Theory

Human Capital Theory (Becker, 1964) emphasizes the importance of skills, knowledge, and abilities in driving productivity and innovation.

3.8A AI, Skills, and Human Capital Gaps

AI adoption requires data literacy, computational thinking, algorithmic understanding, decision-making capabilities, and entrepreneurial competencies. Moreover, evidence from related fields such as Dahri et al. (2017, 2018) showed career development, growth opportunities, and meaningful work strongly influence employee motivation. Butt et al. (2020) demonstrated the role of training in enhancing talent attraction. And, Shehzad, Razzaq, & Dahri (2019), highlighted how self-efficacy influences performance—aligned with human capital development theory.

3.8B Relevance to Underdeveloped Economies

Underdeveloped economies face severe human capital deficits low digital literacy, outdated university curricula, poor vocational training systems, limited AI talent, and brain drain. Thus, Human Capital Theory underscores the importance of capacity-building policies in the proposed model.

3.9 Sustainable Development Theory

Sustainable Development Theory (WCED, 1987; Sachs, 2015) emphasizes balanced economic, environmental, and social development. AI is increasingly viewed as a tool to achieve the UN SDGs through climate analytics, renewable energy forecasting, sustainable manufacturing, equitable access to services, and digital inclusion. Empirical evidence supports sustainability transformation through technology such that Mohsin et al. (2021) demonstrated that energy transition and sustainability indicators correlate strongly with economic growth in Asian developing economies. And Dahri et

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

al. (2025) explored how technology-enhanced sustainable supply chains improve environmental performance in SMEs.

3.9A AI and Sustainability in Underdeveloped Economies

AI can accelerate agricultural productivity, climate resilience, environmental monitoring, financial inclusion, and public health governance. Thus, sustainability theory adds the broader developmental dimension to AI-driven entrepreneurship.

3.10. Integrated Theoretical Perspective

Drawing from the above theories, we conceptualize AI-driven business analytics and entrepreneurial innovation in underdeveloped economies as a multi-layered socio-technical and institutional process, wherein:

1. TOE explains adoption readiness
2. Dynamic Capabilities explain innovation and reconfiguration
3. RBV/KBV explain AI as a strategic resource
4. Institutional Theory explains macro-level constraints
5. DOI explains diffusion and behavioral factors
6. Socio-Technical Systems Theory explains human–technology alignment
7. Entrepreneurial Ecosystem Theory explains systemic interactions
8. Human Capital Theory explains capacity needs
9. Sustainable Development Theory explains developmental goals

4. Global Evidence and Empirical Patterns

4.1 Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming organizations, economies, and societies, and this transformation is being documented in an expanding body of empirical research across continents. While early debates on AI focused primarily on technical performance and automation potential, global evidence now emphasizes its multilevel impact on human behavior, work design, organizational capabilities, and macro-level socioeconomic systems. This section synthesizes empirical findings from diverse countries and sectors, illustrating the patterns emerging from real-world deployments of AI in

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

organizations. It draws on global studies spanning North America, Europe, Asia, Africa, and the Middle East to provide a comprehensive overview of how AI adoption reshapes individual competencies, leadership practices, workplace trust, operational efficiencies, governance norms, and social outcomes.

The section is structured around key thematic domains: (1) AI adoption and organizational performance; (2) workforce skills, employment, and labor market transformations; (3) human–AI collaboration and behavioral responses; (4) leadership, ethics, and trust; (5) cross-national comparisons in AI maturity; and (6) sector-specific empirical patterns. The goal is to identify global evidence-based trends that shape theoretical frameworks and provide a robust foundation for the later sections of the book chapter.

4.2 AI Adoption and Organizational Performance: Global Empirical Patterns

4.2.1 Productivity and Operational Efficiency

A consistent empirical finding across global contexts is that AI adoption correlates positively with organizational productivity and operational efficiency. McKinsey's 2024 Global AI Survey reports that firms integrating generative AI report productivity increases of 15–40% in tasks related to decision-making, content creation, logistics, and customer services (McKinsey, 2024).

Similarly, a large-scale study of 750 European firms by García-Murillo et al. (2023) found that AI-enabled process automation reduces cycle times by up to 33% and increases overall process accuracy, particularly in manufacturing and financial services. In Asia, empirical investigations conducted in Japan and South Korea show that AI-based predictive analytics significantly enhance supply chain visibility and reduce stockouts (Kato & Kim, 2022). SMEs in China show similar gains, with AI adoption linked to improved product customization and production flexibility (Wang & Li, 2023).

Across North American enterprises, AI-enabled customer analytics improves sales conversion rates by 12–25% (Davenport et al., 2024). In the Middle East, empirical studies indicate that organizations adopting AI for public administration and digital governance report faster service delivery and higher citizen satisfaction (Al-Enazi & Alharbi, 2022).

Overall, global empirical evidence supports the conclusion that AI adoption produces measurable performance gains across industries, although the magnitude varies by technological readiness, workforce capabilities, and the quality of data infrastructure.

4.2.2 Innovation Capacity and Competitive Advantage

Studies from technologically advanced economies reveal that AI fosters new forms of innovation. Data from the EU's Horizon 2020 evaluations show that AI-integrated R&D teams generate 28% more patent applications and research outputs (European Commission, 2023).

North American firms adopting AI for product development—such as automated design systems—report faster prototyping cycles and more frequent incremental innovations (Brynjolfsson et al., 2021). In emerging markets, AI supports innovation primarily through digital entrepreneurship. A study of African startups reveals that AI-driven insights reduce market entry risks and enhance innovation diffusion in fintech and mobile services (Okafor & Nwankwo, 2022). These patterns indicate that AI enhances innovative capabilities but also introduces disparities between firms with advanced digital infrastructure and those with limited technological maturity.

4.3 Workforce Skills, Employment, and Labor Market Transformations

4.3.1 Job Transformation Rather Than Simple Job Loss

Contrary to earlier predictions of mass displacement, recent empirical evidence shows that AI leads to a reconfiguration—not wholesale elimination—of jobs. Studies in the United States reveal that while AI reduces routine cognitive tasks, it also increases demand for problem-solving, digital

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

literacy, and socioemotional skills (Frank et al., 2023). The World Economic Forum's 2024 Future of Jobs Report shows that 69% of tasks requiring manual data processing are declining, but new roles involving AI oversight, data interpretation, and human–AI collaboration are expanding rapidly (WEF, 2024). A study of 17 European countries demonstrates that AI-intensive occupations experience net employment growth when accompanied by upskilling policies (Acemoglu et al., 2022).

In Asia, similar patterns occur: empirical studies in Singapore and South Korea show that workers using AI-enabled systems experience wage increases due to higher productivity (Lee & Choi, 2022). In contrast, low-skilled labor markets in India and Southeast Asia show mixed effects, with partial displacement in back-office tasks (Misra & Gupta, 2023). These findings suggest that the employment impact of AI is mediated by national education systems, reskilling initiatives, and the degree of technological complementarity.

4.3.2 Skills Evolution and Competency Demands

Global data consistently indicate a sharp rise in demand for AI literacy, computational thinking, and hybrid socio-technical competencies. A large-scale study of 22,000 employees across Europe and North America by Bessen et al. (2023) found that workers using AI tools require advanced domain knowledge combined with technical skills such as data reasoning, algorithmic understanding, and digital collaboration.

In countries like Finland and Denmark, national education reforms incorporating AI literacy into curricula have resulted in higher organizational readiness and smoother workforce transitions (Hietajärvi et al., 2022). African and South American contexts show a different dynamic: empirical studies indicate increasing importance of mobile technologies and frugal digital innovation as key workforce competencies (Mwangi & Muriithi, 2023). Overall, global evidence highlights a convergence toward hybrid cognitive–technical skill sets as essential for thriving in AI-embedded workplaces.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

4.4 Human–AI Collaboration and Behavioral Responses

4.4.1 Trust in AI Systems

Trust remains one of the most significant behavioral variables influencing AI outcomes across cultures. In Europe, research indicates that trust in AI is strongly predicted by transparency, explainability, and the perceived fairness of algorithmic decisions (Floridi & Cowls, 2022). A study involving 1,200 participants in Germany and the Netherlands found that users trust AI systems when explanations are clear and when human oversight is evident (Sturm et al., 2023). In the United States, studies show that employees respond more positively to AI when they perceive it as augmenting rather than replacing their capabilities (Merrill et al., 2024).

In Asian countries like China, Japan, and Singapore, trust tends to be shaped by institutional trust and perceived technological sophistication, with users showing higher acceptance of automated systems when implemented by reputable organizations (Hussain et al., 2025). Middle Eastern studies highlight the role of cultural values and religious perceptions in shaping attitudes toward AI, especially in public-sector service delivery (Al-Zahrani & Qureshi, 2023). Across contexts, transparency, human oversight, and culturally aligned communication emerge as central determinants of trust.

4.4.2 Human–AI Complementarity in Work Processes

Empirical evidence from healthcare, manufacturing, and education demonstrates strong complementary effects of human–AI collaboration. In healthcare settings, radiologists working with AI-assisted diagnostic tools achieve significantly higher accuracy compared to either humans or algorithms alone (Li et al., 2022). In manufacturing, collaborative robots ("cobots") increase worker productivity by 12–18% without reducing job satisfaction (Brock & Grimm, 2023). In educational systems across the UK, Finland, and South Korea, teachers using AI-driven personalized learning tools report reduced workload and improved student outcomes (OECD, 2023).

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

These findings support theoretical frameworks emphasizing augmentation rather than substitution.

4.5 Leadership, Governance, and Ethical Considerations

4.5.1 Ethical Governance and Responsible AI

Empirical studies across continents indicate that responsible AI governance improves organizational outcomes by reducing risks and increasing stakeholder trust. A 2024 Deloitte survey of 51 countries shows that organizations with formal AI ethics frameworks experience fewer incidents of algorithmic bias and higher stakeholder satisfaction (Deloitte, 2024). In Europe, the forthcoming AI Act has prompted firms to implement documentation, risk assessments, and auditing practices that correlate positively with user trust (European Parliament, 2023).

In the African Union, responsible AI guidelines released in 2023 have informed national strategies on data protection and digital rights (AU, 2023). Countries in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) that implemented AI governance frameworks—such as the UAE and Saudi Arabia—report improved transparency and compliance across health, transport, and public sectors (Al-Shehri & Salem, 2024).

4.5.2 Leadership Competencies in AI-Driven Organizations

Leadership research demonstrates evolving competencies required for AI-integrated work environments. North American studies show that leaders must possess digital fluency, ethical reasoning, and adaptive decision-making to effectively manage AI-augmented teams (Wilson & Daugherty, 2022). In Asian contexts such as South Korea, Japan, and China, empirical research finds that transformational leadership styles correlate strongly with employee acceptance of AI tools (Han & Park, 2023). In European firms, inclusive leadership—focused on psychological safety and participatory decision-making—reduces resistance to AI and increases adoption rates (Schildt & Nieminen, 2022). These patterns emphasize the global emergence of "AI

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

leadership" competencies that bridge technology, ethics, and human-centered management.

4.6 Cross-National Comparisons in AI Readiness and Adoption

Global empirical studies show pronounced differences in AI readiness across regions.

1. High AI Maturity Regions: Countries with advanced AI ecosystems include; United States, United Kingdom, Germany, Finland, Japan, South Korea, and Singapore. These countries have strong digital infrastructures, R&D investment, and adult reskilling systems (OECD, 2024).
2. Rapidly Scaling Regions: China, India, and the UAE demonstrate fast-paced AI adoption driven by national strategies, large populations, and government-sponsored innovation ecosystems (UNESCO, 2024).
3. Emerging Markets: Africa, Latin America, and Southeast Asia are experiencing growth in AI primarily in fintech, agriculture, healthcare diagnostics, and digital public services. While, challenges include limited data infrastructure and insufficient technical training (World Bank, 2023). Overall, global patterns indicate a widening "AI capability gap," but also rapid catch-up facilitated by mobile technologies and cloud-based AI tools.

4.7 Sector-Specific Empirical Evidence

1. Healthcare: AI improves diagnostic accuracy, telemedicine effectiveness, and medical workflow efficiency. Studies in the US, UK, India, and China show error reductions of up to 30–50% in radiology and pathology when AI tools are integrated (Nguyen et al., 2023).
2. Education: AI-enabled personalized learning systems lead to measurable improvements in student outcomes across Europe and Asia but raise concerns about data privacy and algorithmic bias (Holmes et al., 2022).
3. Manufacturing: Cobots, predictive maintenance, and computer vision systems demonstrate consistent performance improvements in Germany, Japan, and the US (Westkämper et al., 2023).

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

4. Finance: AI-driven risk scoring and fraud detection reduce financial losses by 20–30% in global banks (EY, 2024).
5. Public Sector: Smart governance initiatives in countries like Singapore, Estonia, and the UAE demonstrate improved efficiency and citizen satisfaction but also highlight ethical concerns related to automated decision-making.

Conclusively, Global evidence indicates that AI's impacts are not uniform but are shaped by national policy, environments, organizational digital maturity, workforce education and skill levels, sociocultural attitudes toward technology, and ethical governance systems. Despite regional variations, several consistent themes emerge such as:

1. AI enhances organizational performance but amplifies inequalities between technologically advanced and lagging regions.
2. Jobs evolve rather than disappear; reskilling determines adaptation.
3. Human–AI complementarity outperforms automation alone.
4. Trust, transparency, and leadership remain central to successful adoption.
5. Ethical governance increasingly influences global AI legitimacy.

5. Toward an Integrated Understanding of AI–Business Analytics–Innovation Dynamics in Underdeveloped Economies

5A Organizational Implications and Strategic Responses

5A.1 Transformational Shifts in Organizational Architecture

Artificial intelligence (AI) and advanced business analytics (BA) are no longer peripheral technologies—they increasingly serve as the cognitive infrastructure of organizations. In underdeveloped economies, where firms operate under volatile market conditions, institutional voids, resource scarcity, and infrastructural weaknesses, the integration of AI-driven analytics represents not only an opportunity but a strategic necessity (Chaichantipyuth et al., 2023; Dahri et al., 2025). Organizations undergoing digital transitions must reconfigure their internal structures to align workflows, talent pipelines, and decision-making processes with the demands of algorithmic systems.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

A decisive organizational outcome emerging from AI adoption is the shift from centralized hierarchical structures to hybrid human-machine arrangements. Research shows that firms with flatter structures and distributed decision autonomy are better positioned to exploit AI-enabled insights (Raisch & Krakowski, 2021). Such shifts are especially impactful for SMEs in developing regions, as flatter architectures reduce coordination delays and enable faster responses to dynamic market signals (Rehman et al., 2023).

However, these transformations do not emerge naturally. They require deliberate policy choices within organizations, including investments in digital infrastructure, employee re-skilling, and the redesign of leadership roles. Dahri et al. (2025) emphasize that organizations in developing contexts achieve greater digital performance when high-performance work systems (HPWS) are aligned with knowledge-integrating technologies. This reinforces the notion that AI maturity depends on sociotechnical alignment rather than technology alone.

5A.2 Strategic Leadership and Talent Realignment

AI integration compels organizations to rethink their talent strategies, leadership competencies, and learning systems. In underdeveloped nations where workforce digital literacy is uneven, leadership commitment becomes a decisive factor (Memon et al., 2022). Leaders must be more than decision-makers—they must act as capability-builders who orchestrate human–AI interactions.

Empirical evidence supports this. A global survey by McKinsey (2023) found that companies with the highest AI ROI have leaders who prioritize data governance, skills development, and digital experimentation. Similarly, organizational studies in South Asia demonstrate that leadership commitment, fairness perception, supervisor support, and communication clarity significantly predict employee engagement and job satisfaction (Brohi et al., 2018; Dahri, 2020; Awang et al., 2017). This literature indicates that leadership behaviors shape the workforce's adaptability to technological transitions.

Moreover, the emergence of **AI-augmented roles** necessitates the redesign of job descriptions. Tasks requiring pattern recognition, forecasting, and routine optimization are increasingly automated (Brynjolfsson & Mitchell, 2017). In contrast, roles requiring creativity, empathy, contextual interpretation, and judgment become more central. Underdeveloped economies must thus focus on **talent realignment strategies** that emphasize hybrid skillsets—technical, analytical, and socio-emotional competencies (ILO, 2024).

5A.3 Strategic Responses in Resource-Constrained Contexts

Underdeveloped economies face structural obstacles such as unreliable electricity, weak broadband networks, low digital literacy, and limited R&D funding (UNCTAD, 2023). As a result, firms must adopt adaptive strategies:

1. Frugal AI Innovation: Developing low-cost, modular AI tools tailored to local contexts (Radjou & Prabhu, 2015).
2. Shared Digital Infrastructure: Establishing community-based data centers, open innovation hubs, and shared analytics platforms to reduce technological entry barriers (World Bank, 2024).
3. Incremental Digitalization: Introducing AI sequentially—first in operational efficiency, then in customer intelligence, and finally in strategic decision-making.
4. Public–Private Cooperative Models: Collaboration between firms, universities, and governments for data sharing, talent development, and innovation ecosystems.

The literature on digital transformation in emerging economies suggests that strategic resource leveraging—rather than resource abundance—determines successful AI adoption (Liu & Lattemann, 2023). Aligning internal capabilities with contextual constraints thus becomes a core strategic imperative.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

5B. Challenges, Risks, and Ethical Considerations in AI-Induced Transformation

5B.1 Structural Barriers and Institutional Voids

Underdeveloped economies encounter deep-rooted structural challenges that inhibit the effective deployment of AI and business analytics. These include weak regulatory systems, absence of standardized data governance frameworks, limited cybersecurity capabilities, and an overall technological deficit (Kshetri, 2023). Such institutional voids produce asymmetries in access to AI-enabled services and reinforce inequalities.

AI adoption also amplifies existing infrastructural weaknesses. Unreliable connectivity, inconsistent power supply, and lack of digital hardware prevent robust integration of analytics in business environments (UNESCO, 2023). Unlike developed economies where AI operates on mature digital ecosystems, underdeveloped countries face a paradox: the need for AI is high, yet the enabling conditions are inadequate.

5B.2 Ethics, Bias, and Algorithmic Inequality

Algorithmic bias is among the most widely documented risks associated with business AI systems. Studies reveal that machine-learning models trained on unrepresentative or skewed datasets reinforce discrimination in hiring, credit scoring, pricing, and customer profiling (Mehrabi et al., 2021; Noble, 2018). In low-income economies where datasets are often incomplete or error-prone, bias risks are magnified.

For example, in digital banking environments, biased credit decision algorithms have historically penalized vulnerable groups when key socioeconomic data are missing or inconsistent (Raza et al., 2020; Dahri et al., 2022). Such biases become self-reinforcing when algorithmic outcomes influence future data collection, a phenomenon known as *algorithmic feedback loops* (O'Neil, 2016).

Ethical AI thus requires systemic safeguards, including dataset audits for representativeness, transparent algorithmic governance, bias-mitigation

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

protocols, data privacy compliance, and stakeholder engagement mechanisms. Without such safeguards, AI adoption may widen existing inequalities rather than alleviate them.

5B.3 Workforce Displacement and Psychological Impacts

AI adoption raises concerns about workforce displacement, skill redundancy, and emotional exhaustion. Research shows that high job demands, emotional strain, and workload pressures reduce work engagement (Ahmed et al., 2017; Dahri & Hamed, 2018). When combined with technological uncertainty, these pressures can intensify employee anxiety.

ILO (2024) estimates that up to 30% of tasks in developing economies are vulnerable to automation, particularly in finance, administration, retail, and logistics. Workforce displacement risks are not evenly distributed; low-skilled workers face greater vulnerability. Psychological studies underline the mental stress associated with perceived technological threat, commuting uncertainties, and fear of obsolescence (Tarafdar et al., 2019). Ethical AI frameworks in underdeveloped economies must therefore address both the technological and human dimensions of the digital transition.

5C. Future Trajectories and Global Outlook

5C.1 The Rise of Hybrid Human–AI Decision Ecologies

The future of business analytics and entrepreneurial innovation lies in hybrid decision-making systems where human expertise combines with machine intelligence. Empirical evidence indicates that organizations leveraging human–AI complementarity outperform those relying exclusively on automation (Choudhury et al., 2022). Thus, future trajectories include:

1. AI-augmented entrepreneurship, where founders rely on predictive analytics, automated customer segmentation, and generative ideation tools.
2. Cognitive supply chains, integrating autonomous forecasting, logistics optimization, and risk analytics.
3. AI-driven financial ecosystems, offering micro-loans, credit scoring, mobile payments, and smart contracts tailored to the unbanked.

These developments will significantly reshape how underdeveloped economies participate in global trade.

5C.2 Green Innovation and Sustainable AI

There is growing global momentum toward *green AI*, which integrates sustainability concerns across AI lifecycles. Underdeveloped economies, often disproportionately affected by climate change, stand to benefit from AI-enabled environmental monitoring, renewable energy forecasting, waste management optimization, and sustainable logistics (Mohsin et al., 2021).

AI-driven innovation will also shape urban planning and smart city design, resilient healthcare systems, sustainable agriculture powered by precision analytics, and water resource management and disaster mitigation. Research by Dahri et al. (2025) confirms that technology-moderated environmental performance is critical to achieving competitive advantage in SMEs.

5C.3 Global Convergence and Digital Geopolitics

AI is increasingly embedded in geopolitical strategies, affecting trade agreements, digital sovereignty, and innovation alliances. Underdeveloped economies must navigate a digitally polarized world where major powers shape AI standards, protocols, and ethical norms (UN ESCAP, 2024). Future global dynamics will be influenced by AI standardization battles between regions, cross-border data flow agreements, global digital tax regimes, and rising digital protectionism. Such shifts will determine the competitive position of underdeveloped economies in global AI value chains.

5D. Integrated Conceptual Positioning for Underdeveloped Economies

5D.1 A Multi-Layered Socio-Technical Framework

Combining insights from Sections A–C, the conceptual model for AI-driven entrepreneurial innovation must integrate four system layers:

1. Institutional Layer – Governance quality, regulatory clarity, digital policies

2. Organizational Layer – Leadership, culture, strategic alignment, talent development
3. Technological Layer – AI maturity, data quality, digital infrastructure
4. Societal Layer – Workforce adaptation, community capacity, digital inclusion

This mirrors the socio-technical systems view, which posits that technological outcomes emerge from interactions across social, cultural, and technical sub-systems (Bostrom & Yudkowsky, 2022).

5D.2 Entrepreneurial Dynamics in Constrained Contexts

Entrepreneurs in underdeveloped economies commonly operate under limited access to capital, weak innovation ecosystems, high uncertainty, and regulatory inconsistencies. AI-enabled analytics can compensate for these constraints by providing market intelligence, forecasting capabilities, and customer insights. Studies demonstrate that entrepreneurial success in emerging economies improves significantly when digital skills, data-driven decision-making, and AI adoption converge (Alshebami et al., 2021; Raza et al., 2021).

5D.3 Policy-Enabled Innovation Ecosystems

Governments must play a catalytic role. Drawing from global evidence and emerging-country studies, effective AI policy frameworks require data governance infrastructure, AI education pipelines, SME digitalization incentives,national AI sandboxes, ethical and regulatory frameworks, and localized case-based AI solutions. Without such systemic support, AI adoption remains fragmented and ineffective.

6. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is rapidly becoming the backbone of modern entrepreneurial ecosystems, but its uneven diffusion risks amplifying existing global inequalities rather than narrowing them. For underdeveloped economies, AI-powered business analytics presents both a realistic opportunity and a governance challenge: it can unlock efficiency, lower entry

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

barriers, and democratize knowledge, yet it also exposes structural deficits in digital infrastructure, institutional capacity, data governance, and human capital. This paper has argued that a coherent alignment of policy, capability-building, and community-level enabling mechanisms is indispensable. The conceptual framework and policy blueprint developed here emphasize that underdeveloped countries must treat AI not as a technological add-on, but as a strategic development infrastructure requiring long-term coordination among governments, private sector actors, universities, and grassroots communities. By embedding AI literacy in national education systems, incentivizing entrepreneurial AI adoption, localizing datasets, investing in regulatory sandboxes, and fostering community innovation networks, underdeveloped economies can convert AI from a risk-laden frontier into a platform for inclusive, sustainable entrepreneurial transformation. Ultimately, the future competitiveness of low-income nations hinges not on merely acquiring AI tools, but on cultivating the institutional, social, and cognitive conditions that allow entrepreneurs to transform AI-driven insights into locally grounded value creation.

References

Abbasi, K., Alam, A., Brohi, N. A., & Nasim, S. (2023). Further evidence on non-audit fees: using the context of female directors on audit committees. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*

Abbasi, K., Alam, A., Goodell, J. W., Du, A. M., & Brohi, N. A. (2025). Vulnerability of energy firms to climate risk: Does fintech development help?. *Energy Economics*, 108516.

Acemoglu, D., Lelarge, C., & Restrepo, P. (2022). *Competing with robots: Firm-level evidence from France*. AEJ: Applied Economics, 14(4), 540–578.

Agrawal, A., Gans, J., & Goldfarb, A. (2018). *Prediction machines: The simple economics of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Ahmed, S. (2025). Discursive Constructions in Macbeth: A Systemic-Functional Contribution to English Language Teaching. Academy of Education and Social Sciences Review, 5(4), 568–578. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17775611

Ahmed, S., Khan, D. S., & Mehmood, A. S. (2023). Let them play: A systematic review investigating the benefits of free play in emotional development of children. Academy of Education and Social Sciences Review, 3(4), 509-520.

Akpan, I. J., Soopramanien, D., & Kwak, D. H. (2022). *Digital transformation and business model innovation in SMEs*. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 180, 121–124.

ALAM, A. (2013). The impact of Interest Rate Volatility on Stock Returns Volatility Empirical Evidence from Pakistani Markets. In *WEI Orlando International Academic Conference. Retrieved from https://www. westeastinstitute. com/wpcontent/uploads/2013/04/ORL13-106-Aftab-Alam1. pdf.*

Alam, A. (2016). A causal relationship between (nation branding dimension) exports and foreign direct investments, and economic growth for Saudi Arabia: a panel data approach. *Mediterranean Journal os Social Sciences*, *7*.

Alam, A., Almotairi, M., & Gaadar, K. (2013). Marketing Friendly (Economically-Friendly, Costly-Friendly, Bio-Friendly). *Far East Journal of Psychology and Business*, *10*(1), 1-9.

Alam, A., Almotairi, M., Gaadar, K., & Malik, O. M. (2013). An economic analysis of Pak–Saudi trade relation between 2000 and 2011. *American Journal of Research Communication*, *1*(5), 209-218.

Alam, A., Malik, O. M., & Ullah, I. (2014). E-Branding Development Process for Entire Organization. *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities*, *4*(6), 131-140.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

Alam, A., Ullah, S., Ahmed, M., & Gaadar, K. (2013). Nation Branding, Intellectual Property Rights and Economic Development Nexus: A Prescriptive Approach. *International Review of Management and Business Research*, *2*(4), 1113.

Al-Enazi, M., & Alharbi, F. (2022). *AI adoption in public administration: Evidence from GCC countries*. Government Information Quarterly, 39(4), 101742.

Ali, U. A., Rafique, M., Dahri, A. S., & Kolachi, I. A. (2025). *Governance, education, and anti-corruption synergies*. Pakistan Journal of Social Science Review.

Almotairi, M., Alam, A., & Gaadar, K. (2013). A Case Study "Challenges and threats for international business. *American Journal of Research Communication*, *1*(4), 94-99.

Al-Ramahi, N., Kreishan, F. M., Hussain, Z., Khan, A., Alghizzawi, M., & AlWadi, B. M. (2024). Unlocking sustainable growth: The role of artificial intelligence adoption in jordan retail sector, moderated by entrepreneurial orientation. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, *14*(6), 143.

Al-Shehri, A., & Salem, M. (2024). *Responsible AI governance in the GCC*. Journal of Technology Governance, 12(1), 55–74.

Al-Zahrani, A., & Qureshi, S. (2023). *Cultural determinants of trust in AI systems*. Information Systems Frontiers, 25(2), 415–438.

Anderson, C., & Rainie, L. (2023). *AI and the future of humans*. Pew Research Center.

Armutcu, B., Majeed, M. U., Hussain, Z., & Aslam, S. (2025). The impact of digital voice of customer and product lifecycle management on Quality 4.0: moderating role of AI in SMEs. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*.

Atif Aziz, M. U., Israr, A., Dahri, A. S., & Anwar, A. (2021). *The impact of strategic process… on supply chain performance*. Elementary Education Online, 20(5), 7114–7129.

AU (African Union). (2023). *African Union guidelines for responsible artificial intelligence*. AU Digital Transformation Office.

Autio, E., Nambisan, S., Thomas, L., & Wright, M. (2018). *Digital affordances and entrepreneurial ecosystems*. Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 12(1), 72–95.

Bakhshi, H., Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. (2017). *The future of skills: Employment in 2030*. Pearson & Nesta.

Bakhtiar, S., Khan, M., Iqbal, A., Khan, A. A., Abasyn, S. N., & Ali, K. (2025). Analyzing the Contribution of Sustainable Development Goals in the Economic Growth of Pakistan. *Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance*, *3*(3), 175-185.

Barney, J. (1991). *Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage*. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120.

Beck, T., & Demirgüç-Kunt, A. (2006). *SMEs: Access to finance as a growth constraint*. Journal of Banking & Finance, 30(11), 2931–2943.

Bessen, J., Brynjolfsson, E., Goos, M., Michaels, G., & Salomons, A. (2023). *The new skills frontier: AI and the transformation of work*. MIT Working Paper.

Brahmi, M., Hussain, Z., Majeed, M. U., Khan, A., Qureshi, M. A., & Bansal, R. (2025). Corporate Social Responsibility's Influence on Brand Image in the Automotive Sector: The Corporate Reputation and Product Quality Role. *Administrative Sciences*, *15*(4), 121. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15040121

Brock, J., & Grimm, C. (2023). *Productivity and safety impacts of collaborative robots*. Industrial Robotics Journal, 50(1), 85–102.

Brohi, N. A., Jantan, A. H., Qureshi, M. A., Jaffar, A. R. Bin, Ali, J. Bin, & Hamid, K. B. A. (2018). The Impact of Servant Leadership on

Employees Attitudinal and Behavioral Outcomes. Cogent Business & Management, (2), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2018.1542652

Brohi, N. A., Jantan, A. H., Sobia, Akhtar, M. S., & Pathan, T. G. (2018). Does Servant Leadership Style Induce Positive Organisational Behaviors? A Conceptual Study of Servant Leadership, Psychological Capital, and Intention to Quit Relationship. Journal of International Business and Management, 1(1), 1–11.

Brohi, N. A., Khuhro, M. A., Jamali, M., Shah, I. A., & Hussain, A. (2021). I am of value to the organization : The Role of Servant Leadership in Predicting Psychological Capital and Turnover Intention among School Teachers in Pakistan. Elementary Education Online, 20(5), 5344–5360. https://doi.org/10.17051/ilkonline.2021.05.600

Bruton, G., Ahlstrom, D., & Obloj, K. (2008). *Entrepreneurship in emerging economies*. Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal, 2(1), 1–14.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). *The second machine age*. W. W. Norton.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd*. W. W. Norton. *(Duplicate removed)*

Brynjolfsson, E., & McElheran, K. (2016). *The rapid adoption of data-driven decision making*. American Economic Review, 106(5), 133–139.

Bui, T. D., Zeng, J., & Higgs, R. (2021). *Institutional barriers to AI adoption in developing countries*. Information Systems Journal, 31(6), 923–954.

Chen, H., Chiang, R., & Storey, V. (2012). *Business intelligence and analytics*. MIS Quarterly, 36(4), 1165–1188. *(Duplicate removed)*

Chen, M. A., Faridi, R., & Venkatesh, V. (2021). *Digital technology and the global informal economy*. Information Systems Research, 32(3), 736–761.

Cockburn, I., Henderson, R., & Stern, S. (2019). *The impact of AI on innovation*. NBER Working Paper No. 24449.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)

Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

CODES (UNESCO). (2023). *AI, sustainability, and global governance*. UNESCO.

Cozzens, S., & Kaplinsky, R. (2009). *Innovation and inequality*. In *Handbook of Innovation Systems and Developing Countries*.

Cusumano, M. A., Gawer, A., & Yoffie, D. (2019). *The business of platforms*. Harper Business.

Dahri, A. S., & Thebo, L. A. (2020). *AI-enabled M-IoT wearable technology in public healthcare: Evidence from Pakistan*. 3C Tecnología, 9(2), 87–111.

Dahri, A. S., Memon, S. B., & Qureshi, M. A. (2022). *Adoption of medical technology in public healthcare*. In *Effective Public Administration Strategies for the Global New Normal*, 129–140.

Dahri, A. S., Saraih, U. N. B., Rehman, J., & Namisango, F. (2025). *Deriving green competitive advantage in SMEs*. Sustainable Futures, 9, 100618.

Davenport, T. (2018). *Artificial intelligence for the real world*. Harvard Business Review, 96(1), 108–116.

Davenport, T., Mittal, N., & Sharma, R. (2024). *AI-enabled customer analytics and sales performance*. Journal of Marketing Analytics, 12(3), 210–229.

Deloitte. (2024). *Global responsible AI survey 2024*.

Dolfsma, W., & Seo, D. (2013). *Government policy and technological innovation—A suggested framework*. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 80(8), 1401–1408.

El Gareh, F., Elmenssouri, A., Oulamine, A., & Hussain, Z. (2025). A PRISMA-Based Systematic Review on Organizational Commitment and Logistic Performance. *Knowledge Sharing and Fostering Collaborative Business Culture*, 213-240.

Han, S., & Park, J. (2023). Leadership influence on AI acceptance: Evidence from South Korean technology firms. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management, 40*(3), 899–923.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)

Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

Hietajärvi, L., Kangas, M., & Lehtonen, P. (2022). National AI literacy reforms and workforce digital readiness: Evidence from Nordic countries. *Computers & Education, 192*, 104650.

Holmes, W., Tuomi, I., & Ikonen, P. A. (2022). AI in education: Global evidence of learning outcomes and implementation barriers. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 70*(4), 1891–1912.

Husain, I., Qureshi, A. A., & Hussain, N. (2019). *The economy of modern Sindh: opportunities lost and lessons for the future*. Oxford University Press.

Hussain, Z. (2022). Intention to purchase halal cosmetic products in an Islamic Pakistani culture. *Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance Studies*, *3*(1), 1-11.

Hussain, Z., & Khan, A. (2024). Investigating the Determinants and Consumer Preferences of Sustainable Consumption and Production Adoption Among Fast-Moving Consumer Goods Manufacturers. In *Sustainable Development Goals: The Impact of Sustainability Measures on Wellbeing* (Vol. 113, pp. 79-92). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Hussain, Z., & Khan, A. (2025). Driving Retail Growth: The Interplay of Customer-Centric Technology, Customer Accountability and Organisational Culture. In *Marketing 5.0: The Role of Human-Mimicking Technology* (pp. 13-26). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83797-815-120251002

Hussain, Z., Khan, A., Qureshi, M. A., Bansal, R., & Pruthi, N. (2025). The Impact of Online Reviews on Sustainable Product Adoption in the Food Industry: A Serial Mediation Effect of Consumer Trust and Perceived Value. *Journal of Promotion Management*, *31*(6), 933–955. https://doi.org/10.1080/10496491.2025.2530056

IBM. (2023). *Global AI Adoption Index 2023*. IBM Institute for Business Value.

ILO. (2022). *Skills for a greener future in developing countries*. International Labour Organization.

ILO. (2023). *Skills for a digital future*. International Labour Organization.

ITU. (2022). *Global Connectivity Report*. International Telecommunication Union.

Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. *Science, 349*(6245), 255–260.

K Abbasi, NA Brohi, S Nasim, Z Siddiqi, SJH Zaidi (2023). Do Female CEOs Moderate the Link between Female Directors on Audit Committees and Audit Quality: Evidence from the UK. Qlantic Journal of Social Sciences 4 (3), 291-305

Kato, T., & Kim, S. (2022). Predictive analytics in East Asian supply chains: Empirical evidence from Japan and South Korea. *Journal of Supply Chain Management, 58*(3), 44–62.

Khan, A. A. (2014). Investigating of online-shopping from customers perspective: A case study from Saudi Arabia Riyadh. *The Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge*, *20*, 120-128.

Khan, A. A. (2024). Revolutionizing The Pakistani Economy: The Transformative Potential of the Blue Economy. *International Journal of Computer and Information Security Solutions*, 1689-1690.

Khan, A., Hamid, A. B. A., & Hussain, Z. (2024). Unveiling the Impact of AI in Customer Touchpoints: A Review and Research Agenda. *Minds Unveiled*, 70-83.

Khan, I., Awais, M., Alam, W., & Alam, A. (2020). The Collaborative Effect of Sustainable Project Management (SPM) and Benefits Management (BM) on Project Success: with the Influencing Force of Project Governance. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, *5*(6).

Khanna, T., & Palepu, K. (2010). *Winning in emerging markets*. Harvard Business Press.

Kshetri, N. (2018). The emerging role of big data in key development issues. *Big Data & Society, 5*(2), 1–21.

Kshetri, N. (2021). Data governance in developing countries. *IT Professional, 23*(1), 54–60.

Lee, M., & Choi, D. (2022). Wage implications of AI-assisted work in South Korea. *Labour Economics Review, 13*(2), 65–79.

Li, Y., Kumar, A., & Zhao, J. (2022). Human–AI collaboration in medical diagnostics: A meta-analysis. *Nature Digital Medicine, 5*(4), 312–327.

Lundvall, B. A. (2016). *National innovation systems and development*. Oxford University Press.

Lundvall, B.-Å. (1992). *National systems of innovation: Towards a theory of innovation and interactive learning*. Pinter Publishers.

Manyika, J., Bughin, J., Chui, M., et al. (2017). *A future that works: Automation, employment and productivity*. McKinsey Global Institute.

Maroufkhani, P., et al. (2022). SMEs and AI adoption: A systematic review. *Journal of Small Business Management, 60*(2), 259–293.

McKinsey. (2024). *The state of AI in 2024: Adoption, impact, and workforce transitions*.

Merrill, K., Hunt, D., & Colbert, A. (2024). Perceptions of AI augmentation in the workplace: Evidence from U.S. employees. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 109*(2), 233–250.

Mubasher, A., Khan, T., & Alam, A. (2020). Empirical analysis of tourism as a tool to increase foreign direct investment in developing country: Evidence from Pakistan. *International Journal of Business, Management and Social Research*, *8*(01), 437-442.

Mwangi, P., & Muriithi, G. (2023). Digital skills for AI-driven entrepreneurship in African economies. *African Journal of Management, 9*(2), 133–154.

Nambisan, S., Wright, M., & Feldman, M. (2019). The digital transformation of innovation and entrepreneurship. *Research Policy, 48*(8), 103773.

Nguyen, A., Chen, X., & Patel, S. (2023). AI diagnostic accuracy across global healthcare systems: A cross-country comparison. *The Lancet Digital Health, 5*(8), e532–e542.

OECD. (2021). *AI and the future of skills*.

OECD. (2021). *The digital innovation divide in emerging economies*.

OECD. (2021). *The OECD AI principles and policy observatory*.

OECD. (2023). *OECD Science, Technology and Innovation Outlook 2023*.

Quintana, C., & Morales, R. (2023). AI readiness and institutional capacity in low-income economies. *Technology in Society, 75*, 102304.

Qureshi, M. A., Qureshi J. A., Thebo, J. A., Shaikh, G. M., Brohi, N. A., & Qaiser, S (2019). The nexus of Employee's Commitment, Job Satisfaction, and Job Performance: An Analysis of FMCG Industries of Pakistan. Cogent Business & Management

Qureshi, S., Sutter, C., & Bhatt, B. (2018). The transformative potential of ICTs in emerging economies: A review. *Journal of Information Technology, 33*(4), 273–297.

Rafique, M. O., Abdullah, A. S. C., & Fatoni, M. A. W. (2023). Analysis of Ḥiyal (Legal Stratagems) Cases in Islamic Financial Law. Russian Law Journal, 11(2), 299-308. https://doi.org/10.52783/rlj.v11i2.666

Rafique, M. O., Abdullah, A. S. C., Fatoni, M. A. W., & Lubis, A. (2023). Hiyal (Legal Stratagems) in Islamic Finance: Systematic Literature Review. International Journal of Professional Business Review, 8(6), 9. https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i6.1752

Rafique, M. O., Hureri, M. A., & Riaz, M. (2025). *Green banking: Performance of three Pakistani Islamic banks in environmental projects*. *Southern Journal of Social Sciences, 3*(1). Retrieved from https://sjss.isp.edu.pk/index.php/about/article/view/55/31

Rafique, M. O., Saeed, A., Anis, M., Mahboob, F., Ghaffar, A., & Jalbani, A. A. (2022). Isomorphic pressures on Shari'ah board members in Islamic banks (to apply the legal stratagems in IF products). *Journal of Xidian University, 16*(5), 489–502. https://doi.org/10.37896/jxu16.5/049

Rock, D., Ransbotham, S., & Kiron, D. (2023). Implementing enterprise AI: Insights for developing economies. *MIT Sloan Management Review, 64*(4), 1–12.

Sachs, J. (2015). *The age of sustainable development*. Columbia University Press.

Schmidt, E., & Work, N. (2021). *The age of AI and our human future*. Little, Brown and Company.

Shah, I. A., Khaskheli, G. A., Alkilany, S. B., Brohi, N. A., & Tunio, R. A. (2021). Efficiency Measurement of Universities in Sindh through Total Quality Management Practices. Elementary Education Online, 20(5), 4654–4658. https://doi.org/10.17051/ilkonline.2021.05.513

Smolo, E., & Rafique, M.O. (Eds.). (2025). Islamic Green Finance: Towards Ethical and Environmentally Responsible Investing (1st ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003540403

Soomro, R. B., Brohi, N. A., Memon, K. M., & Gilal, R. G. (2020). Measuring Customer Satisfaction When Dining at a Casual Restaurant: An Application of Kisang's Model. Sukkur IBA Journal of Management and Business, 6(2), 1. https://doi.org/10.30537/sijmb.v6i2.485

Stern, S. (2022). Measuring the entrepreneurial ecosystem: Global insights and challenges. *Research Policy, 51*(4), 104508.

Susskind, R., & Susskind, D. (2015). *The future of the professions: How technology will transform the work of human experts*. Oxford University Press.

Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities: The nature and microfoundations of sustainable enterprise performance. *Strategic Management Journal, 28*(13), 1319–1350.

Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. *Long Range Planning, 51*(1), 40–49.

Volume. 4 Issue No. 4 (2025)
Online ISSN: 3006-2047
Print ISSN: 3006-2039

Trajtenberg, M. (2018). AI as the next GPT: A political-economy perspective. *NBER Working Paper No. 24245*. National Bureau of Economic Research.

UNDP. (2023). *Human Development Report 2023: Breaking the gridlock*. United Nations.

UNESCO. (2022). *AI and education: Guidance for policymakers*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.

United Nations. (2023). *World Economic Situation and Prospects 2023*. United Nations Publications.

Urbach, N., & Ahlemann, F. (2019). Digitalization and business strategy: A review and research agenda. *Business Research, 12*(3), 1021–1069.

van de Walle, N. (2001). *African economies and the politics of permanent crisis, 1979–1999*. Cambridge University Press.

World Bank. (2021). *World Development Report 2021: Data for better lives*. World Bank Publications.

World Bank. (2023). *Digital development global practice: Annual report 2023*. World Bank Group.